

# Methods for Inverse Problems:

## I. Regularization methods for linear problems

Barbara Kaltenbacher, University of Klagenfurt, Austria

# Overview

- 1 Inverse problems as operator equations
- 2 Linear Problems
- 3 Compact operators and singular system
- 4 Generalized inverse and ill-posedness
- 5 A class of regularization methods
  - Some auxiliary results
  - Error representation
  - Some examples of methods
  - Convergence
  - Convergence rates

# Inverse problems as operator equations

- Often, inverse problems can be formulated as operator equations

$$F(x) = y, \quad (1)$$

where  $F : \mathcal{D}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}(F) \subset \mathcal{X}$ ,  
 $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$  Hilbert spaces.

- Measurements are usually contaminated with noise, therefore, we assume that noisy data  $y^\delta$  with

$$\|y^\delta - y\| \leq \delta. \quad (2)$$

are given.

- Example: EIT:  $F : a \mapsto \Lambda_a$ , where  $\Lambda_a$  is the Dirichlet-Neumann operator for

$$\nabla(a\nabla u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

## Linear Problems

We consider an operator equation

$$Tx = y \quad (3)$$

where  $T \in L(X, Y)$  and  $X$  and  $Y$  are Hilbert spaces.

$\mathcal{R}(T) \subseteq Y$  ... range of  $T$

$\mathcal{N}(T) \subseteq X$  ... nullspace of  $T$

$$Q = \text{Proj}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}}, \quad P = \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{N}(T)},$$

$^\perp$  ... orthogonal complement of linear subspace  $M \subseteq Z$ :

$$M^\perp = \{z \in Z \mid \langle z, m \rangle_Z = 0 \ \forall m \in M\}$$

$T^*$  ... adjoint operator

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle_Y = \langle x, T^*y \rangle_X \quad \forall x \in X, y \in Y$$

# Compact operators and singular system

## Theorem

A compact operator  $T \in L(X, Y)$  has a singular system  $(\sigma_j; u_j, v_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ :  $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$  and  $(v_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq Y$  orthonormal systems

$$\begin{aligned}Tu_j &= \sigma_j v_j, & \text{span}(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} &= \mathcal{N}(T)^\perp = \overline{\mathcal{R}(T^*)}, \\T^* v_j &= \sigma_j u_j, & \text{span}(v_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} &= \overline{\mathcal{R}(T)} = \mathcal{N}(T^*)^\perp, \\&& \sigma_j &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty.\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

$$Tx = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j \langle x, u_j \rangle v_j, \quad T^* y = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j \langle y, v_j \rangle u_j.\tag{5}$$

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j + Px, \quad y = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle y, v_j \rangle v_j + (I - Q)y.$$

...generalized Fourier series.

## Generalized inverse and ill-posedness

$T^\dagger$  ... generalized inverse of  $T$ :

$$\forall y \in \mathcal{D}(T^\dagger) = \mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{R}(T)^\perp : \quad T^\dagger y = (T|_{\mathcal{N}(T)^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(T)})^{-1} Qy.$$

Compact  $T$  with singular system  $(\sigma_j; u_j, v_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ :

$$T^\dagger y = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} \langle y, v_j \rangle u_j,$$

provided this sum converges:

$$y \in \mathcal{D}(T^\dagger) \iff \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle y, v_j \rangle^2}{\sigma_j^2} < \infty \quad \text{Picard criterion} \quad (6)$$

Note that in general only  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle y^\delta, v_j \rangle^2 + \|(I - Q)y\|^2 < \infty$  and on the other hand  $\sigma_j \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\rightsquigarrow$  **ill-posedness**:

Noise in the  $j$ th generalized Fourier coefficient  $\langle y, v_j \rangle$  is amplified by  $\frac{1}{\sigma_j}$   $\rightsquigarrow$  stronger amplification of high frequent noise.

## An Example (1-d source identification):

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= f && \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

Identify  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  from given measurements of  $u$

equivalently: solve  $Tx = y$  with  $y = u$ ,  $x = f$ ,  $T = (-\Delta)^{-1}$

$X = L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $Y = L^2(\Omega)$  (We measure values but not derivatives!)

$\mathcal{R}(T) \subseteq H^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega) \Rightarrow T$  compact.

1-d case  $\Omega = (0, 1)$ : singular system

$((\pi j)^{-2}; \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin(\pi j \cdot), \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin(\pi j \cdot))$ ,

$$y \in \mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{R}(T)^\perp \iff \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^4 \left( \int_{\Omega} y(\xi) \sin(\pi j \xi) d\xi \right)^2 < \infty$$

## Generalized inverse and best approximate solution

- The generalized inverse  $T^\dagger$  satisfies the Moore-Penrose equations

$$TT^\dagger T = T$$

$$T^\dagger TT^\dagger = T^\dagger$$

$$T^\dagger T = I - P = \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{N}(T)^\perp}$$

$$TT^\dagger = Q = \text{Proj}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}}.$$

- A *least squares solution*  $x_{lss}$  of  $Tx = y$  is defined by

$$x_{lss} \in \text{argmin}\{\|Tx - y\| : x \in X\}$$

It exists  $\Leftrightarrow y \in \mathcal{D}(T^\dagger) = \mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{R}(T)^\perp$ .

$x_{lss}$  is least-squares-solution  $\Leftrightarrow T^*Tx = T^*y$  (normal equation)

- A *best approximate solution*  $x^\dagger$  of  $Tx = y$  is defined by

$$x^\dagger \in \text{argmin}\{\|x\| : x \text{ is least squares solution of } Tx = y\}$$

It exists  $\Leftrightarrow y \in \mathcal{D}(T^\dagger) = \mathcal{R}(T) + \mathcal{R}(T)^\perp$ .

$x^\dagger$  is best approximate solution  $\Leftrightarrow x = T^\dagger y$



## A class of regularization methods: Definition

$$T^\dagger y = (T^* T)^\dagger T^* y \rightsquigarrow R_\alpha y^\delta := q_\alpha(T^* T) T^* y^\delta \quad (7)$$

- $q_\alpha \in C([0, \|T^* T\|])$  depending on some regularization parameter  $\alpha > 0$ .
- Definition of  $f(A)$  by spectral theory for  
 $f \dots$  piecewise continuous function  
 $A \dots$  selfadjoint nonnegative definite operator.
- Case  $A$  compact with eigensystem  $(\sigma_j^2; u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ :

$$Ax = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j^2 \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j \rightsquigarrow f(A)x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f(\sigma_j^2) \langle x, u_j \rangle u_j.$$

- Notation:  $x_\alpha := R_\alpha y$ ,  $x_\alpha^\delta := R_\alpha y^\delta$ ,  $x^\dagger := T^\dagger y$

## Some auxiliary results

### Lemma

$f$  piecewise continuous function,  $A \in L(X, X)$  selfadjoint. Then

$$(\forall \lambda \in [0, \|A\|] : |f(\lambda)| \leq C) \implies \|f(A)\| \leq C$$

### Lemma

$f$  piecewise continuous function,  $T \in L(X, Y)$ . Then

$$f(T^*T)T^* = T^*f(TT^*)$$

### Lemma

$T \in L(X, Y)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $\rho > 0$ . Then

$$\exists w \in X \quad \|w\| \leq \rho : \quad x = (T^*T)^{1/2}w$$

$$\iff$$

$$\exists v \in Y \quad \|v\| \leq \rho : \quad x = T^*v$$

## A class of regularization methods: Error representation

$$x_\alpha^\delta := R_\alpha y^\delta := q_\alpha(T^* T) T^* y^\delta \quad (8)$$

Reconstruction error for exact data:

$$x^\dagger - x_\alpha = (I - q_\alpha(T^* T) T^* T) x^\dagger = r_\alpha(T^* T) x^\dagger \quad (9)$$

$$r_\alpha(\lambda) := 1 - \lambda q_\alpha(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in [0, \|T^* T\|]. \quad (10)$$

Total error:

$$x^\dagger - x_\alpha^\delta = \underbrace{r_\alpha(T^* T) x^\dagger}_{\text{approximation error}} + \underbrace{R_\alpha(y - y^\delta)}_{\text{propagated noise}}$$

## A class of regularization methods: Some examples

- Tikhonov regularization (Tikh)

$\min \{ \|Tx - y^\delta\|^2 + \alpha \|x - x_0\|^2 \}$ , which is equivalent to

$$x_\alpha^\delta = (T^*T + \alpha I)^{-1}(T^*y^\delta + \alpha x_0).$$

- iterated Tikhonov regularization (itTikh)

$$x_{\alpha,0}^\delta := 0, \quad x_{\alpha,n+1}^\delta := (T^*T + \alpha I)^{-1}(T^*y^\delta + \alpha x_{\alpha,n}^\delta), \quad n \geq 0$$

- Landweber iteration (LW):

gradient method for  $\min \|Tx - y^\delta\|^2$ :  $\alpha = \frac{1}{n}$

$$x_0^\delta = 0, \quad x_{n+1}^\delta = x_n^\delta - T^*(Tx_n^\delta - y^\delta), \quad n \geq 0,$$

- Truncated Singular Value Decomposition (TSVD):

replace  $x = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} \langle y, v_j \rangle u_j$  by finite sum  $x_\alpha^\delta = \sum_{\sigma_j^2 \geq \alpha} \frac{1}{\sigma_j} \langle y^\delta, v_j \rangle u_j$

---

---

Tikh	$q_\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda + \alpha}$	$r_\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda + \alpha}$
------	--	---

itTikh	$q_\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{(\lambda + \alpha)^n - \alpha^n}{\lambda(\lambda + \alpha)^n}$	$r_\alpha(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda + \alpha}\right)^n$
--------	---	--

LW	$q_n(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - \lambda)^j$	$r_n(\lambda) = (1 - \lambda)^n$
----	---	----------------------------------

TSVD	$q_\alpha(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda^{-1}, & \lambda \geq \alpha \\ 0, & \lambda < \alpha \end{cases}$	$r_\alpha(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda \geq \alpha \\ 1, & \lambda < \alpha \end{cases}$
------	--	---

---

---

## A class of regularization methods: Convergence

In all these examples the functions  $r_\alpha$ ,  $q_\alpha$  satisfy

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} r_\alpha(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda > 0 \\ 1, & \lambda = 0 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

$$|r_\alpha(\lambda)| \leq C_r \quad \text{for } \lambda \in [0, \|T^* T\|] \quad (12)$$

$$|q_\alpha(\lambda)| \leq \frac{C_q}{\alpha} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in [0, \|T^* T\|] \quad (13)$$

## Theorem

If (11) and (12) hold true, then the operators  $R_\alpha$  defined by (8) converge pointwise to  $T^\dagger$  on  $\mathcal{D}(T^\dagger)$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ . With the additional assumption (13) the norm of the regularization operators can be estimated by

$$\|R_\alpha\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{(C_r + 1)C_q}{\alpha}}. \quad (14)$$

If  $\bar{\alpha}(\delta, y^\delta)$  is a parameter choice rule satisfying

$$\bar{\alpha}(\delta, y^\delta) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \delta / \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}(\delta, y^\delta)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0, \quad (15)$$

then  $(R_\alpha, \bar{\alpha})$  is a regularization method in the sense that

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \|R_{\bar{\alpha}(\delta, y^\delta)} y^\delta - T^\dagger y\| : y^\delta \in Y, \|y^\delta - y\| \leq \delta \right\} = 0 \quad (16)$$

for all  $y \in \mathcal{D}(T^\dagger)$ .

# Convergence rates under source conditions

source wise representation condition

$$x^\dagger = (T^* T)^\mu w, \quad w \in X, \|w\| \leq \rho. \quad (17)$$

$T$  ... smoothing operator  $\Rightarrow$  (17) is abstract smoothness condition.

For the above methods (Tikh, itTikh, LW, TSVD), there exist  $\mu_0 \in (0, \infty]$  (*qualification*),  $C_\mu > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in [0, \|T^* T\|]} |\lambda^\mu r_\alpha(\lambda)| \leq C_\mu \alpha^\mu \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \mu \leq \mu_0. \quad (18)$$



## Theorem

Assume that (17) and (18) hold. Then the approximation error and its image under  $T$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\|x^\dagger - x_\alpha\| &\leq C_\mu \alpha^\mu \rho, & \text{for } 0 \leq \mu \leq \mu_0, \\ \|Tx^\dagger - Tx_\alpha\| &\leq C_{\mu+1/2} \alpha^{\mu+1/2} \rho, & \text{for } 0 \leq \mu \leq \mu_0 - \frac{1}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

If the regularization parameter  $\alpha$  is chosen according to

$$\alpha^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \sim \delta \tag{19}$$

then the optimal convergence rate

$$\|x_\alpha^\delta - x^\dagger\| \leq \tilde{C}_\mu \delta^{\frac{2\mu}{2\mu+1}} \text{ for } 0 \leq \mu \leq \mu_0 \tag{20}$$

is obtained.

## Remarks

- a posteriori regularization parameter choice rules (“ $\mu$ -free”)
  - Morozov’s discrepancy principle:  
 $\alpha = \max \text{ s.t. } \|Tx_\alpha^\delta - y^\delta\| \leq \delta$ ,  
optimal rates (20) for  $\mu \leq \mu_0 - \frac{1}{2}$  [Morozov 1968];  
mod.ver.s.: (20) for  $\mu \leq \mu_0$ : [Raus 1988, Engl&Gfrerer 1988]
  - balancing principle (or Lepskii rule) [Goldenshluger&Perverzev 2000, Bauer&Perverzev 2005]:  
optimal rates (20), also stochastic setting
  - generalized cross validation [Wahba 1977, Lukas 2006] for stochastic setting
  - L-curve [Hansen 1992] “ $\delta$ -free” (Bakushinski - veto)
- logarithmic source conditions for severely ill-posed problems [Hohage 1999]
- alternative choice of regularization term in Tikhonov:  
TV,  $L^1$  to enhance sparsity  $\rightsquigarrow$  analysis in Banach spaces  
[Burger&Osher 2004, Schöpfer&Louis&Schuster 2006,  
Schuster&Hofmann&BK&Kazimierski 2012]