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#### Abstract

Under certain contractivity conditions, we study the asymptotic behavior of abstract 2-parameter semiflows on normal cones in Banach spaces, and show that there are only three possible scenarios for their limit behavior.
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1. Introduction. In certain relevant situations, e.g. in biological applications from population dynamics, it frequently happens that a dynamical system preserves a (partial) order relation on its state space. Such systems are called order-preserving or monotone and Krasnosel'skii laid the basics for their qualitative theory in [Kra64, Kra68]. Meanwhile many others made important contributions for different types of monotone (semi-)dynamical systems and in this small note we simply refer to [PS04, Chu02] for further references.

The essential property of order-preserving dynamical systems is that they possess a surprisingly simple asymptotic behavior. In fact Krause et al. [KN93, KR92] proved a so-called limit set trichotomy (cf. also [Nes99] for nonautonomous difference equations or [Chu02] for random dynamical systems), describing the only three possible asymptotic scenarios of difference equations under a certain kind of concavity.

[^0]In an earlier paper (cf. [PS04]), Siegmund and the author proved such a limit set trichotomy for a general model of nonexpansive dynamical processes, namely 2-parameter semiflows in normal cones on time scales. They include, for example, solution operators of dynamic equations on time scales (cf. [BP01]) and in particular of nonautonomous difference and differential equations. Here we impose different contractivity conditions on the 2parameter semiflows and obtain a stronger limit set trichotomy in this situation, leading to the asymptotic equivalence of all bounded solutions. Despite the more general setting, our arguments follow closely those of [Nes99].
2. Semiflows, Cones, and the Part Metric. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be an arbitrary time scale, i.e., a canonically ordered closed subset of the real axis $\mathbb{R}$. Since we are interested in the asymptotic behavior of evolutionary processes on such sets $\mathbb{T}$, it is reasonable to assume that $\mathbb{T}$ is unbounded above in the whole paper. $(X, d)$ stands for a metric space from now on.

We begin with a very elementary result.
Lemma 1. Let $x_{0} \in X, T>0$ and $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow X$. Then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)=x_{0}$ holds, if and only if one has $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(t_{n}\right)=x_{0}$ for every sequence $\left(t_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{T}$ satisfying $T \leq t_{n+1}-t_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We leave the easy proof to the reader.
Now we are in the position to define an abstract concept to describe nonautonomous evolutionary processes.

Definition 1. A mapping $\varphi:\left\{(t, \tau) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}: \tau \leq t\right\} \times X \rightarrow X$ is denoted as a 2-parameter semiflow on $X$, if the mappings $\varphi(t, \tau, \cdot)=\varphi(t, \tau): X \rightarrow X$, $\tau \leq t$, satisfy the following properties:
(i) $\varphi(\tau, \tau) x=x$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{T}, x \in X$,
(ii) $\varphi(t, s) \varphi(s, \tau)=\varphi(t, \tau)$ for all $\tau, s, t \in \mathbb{T}, \tau \leq s \leq t$,
(iii) $\varphi(\cdot, \cdot) x:\left\{(t, \tau) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}: \tau \leq t\right\} \rightarrow X$ is continuous for all $x \in X$.

For explicit examples of 2-parameter semiflows we only mention stronglycontinuous 1-parameter semiflows, as well as solution operators of nonautonomous difference equations $(\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{Z})$ or ordinary and functional differential equations $(\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R})$ under certain canonical assumptions on their right-hand side (cf. [PS04, Example 2.3]).

To provide some concepts from the classical theory of (autonomous) dynamical systems, we denote a point $x_{0} \in X$ as an equilibrium of $\varphi$, if $\varphi(t, \tau) x_{0}=x_{0}$ holds for all $\tau \leq t$. Moreover, for $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$ and $x \in X$, the orbit emanating from $(\tau, x)$ is $\gamma_{\tau}^{+}(x):=\{\varphi(t, \tau) x \in X: \tau \leq t\}$ and the $\omega$-limit set of $(\tau, x)$ is given by $\omega_{\tau}^{+}(x):=\bigcap_{\tau \leq t} \mathrm{cl}\{\varphi(s, \tau) x \in X: t \leq s\}$. Equivalently, $\omega_{\tau}^{+}(x)$ consists of all points $x^{*} \in X$ such that there exists a sequence $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbb{T}$ with $x^{*}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) x$.

We say a self-mapping $\Phi: X \rightarrow X$ is nonexpansive (on $(X, d)$ ), if $d(\Phi x, \Phi \bar{x}) \leq d(x, \bar{x})$ for all $x, \bar{x} \in X$. The set of nonexpansive self-mappings is closed under composition. If $P \neq \emptyset$ is a set, then a family of parameterdependent self-mappings $\Phi(p): X \rightarrow X, p \in P$, is called uniformly contractive, if there exists a continuous function $c: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, such that the following two conditions are fulfilled (cf. [Nes99]):
(i) $c(x, \bar{x})<d(x, \bar{x})$ for all $x, \bar{x} \in X, x \neq \bar{x}$,
(ii) $d(\Phi(p) x, \Phi(p) \bar{x}) \leq c(x, \bar{x})$ for all $p \in P, x, \bar{x} \in X$.

In particular, each $\Phi(p)$ is nonexpansive. Moreover, in case, the mappings $\Phi_{1}(p), \Phi_{2}(p): X \rightarrow X, p \in P$, are uniformly contractive (with contractivity function $c$ ) and $\Psi: X \rightarrow X$ is nonexpansive, then the compositions $\Phi_{1}(p) \circ$ $\Phi_{2}(p)$ and $\Psi \circ \Phi_{1}(p)$ are uniformly contractive (with the same contractivity function $c$ ).

Assume from now on that the metric space $X$ is a cone $V_{+}$in a real Banach space $(V,\|\cdot\|)$. Recall that a cone is a nonempty closed convex set $V_{+} \subset V$ such that $\alpha V_{+} \subset V_{+}$for $\alpha \geq 0$ and $V_{+} \cap\left(-V_{+}\right)=\{0\}$. Moreover, define $V_{+}^{*}:=V_{+} \backslash\{0\}$. Any cone induces a partial order relation on $V$ via $u \leq v$, if $v-u \in V_{+}$, which is preserved under addition and scalar multiplication with nonnegative reals. A cone $V_{+}$is called normal, if there exists an equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|^{\prime}$ on $V$ such that $\|u\|^{\prime} \leq\|v\|^{\prime}$, if $u \leq v$.

Although forthcoming results on the boundedness of orbits are stated in the norm topology on $V_{+}$, our contractivity condition for 2-parameter semiflows will be formulated in a different metric topology:

DEFINITION 2. If $\lambda(u, v):=\sup \{\alpha \in[0, \infty): \alpha u \leq v\}$ for $u, v \in V_{+}$, then the mapping $p: V_{+}^{*} \times V_{+}^{*} \rightarrow[0, \infty), p(u, v):=-\log \min \{\lambda(u, v), \lambda(v, u)\}$ for $u, v \in V_{+}^{*}$ defines a quasi-metric on $V_{+}^{*}$, called the part metric.

REMARK 1. (1) One easily sees $p(u, v)=\inf \left\{\log \alpha: \alpha^{-1} u \leq v \leq \alpha u\right\}$ for all $u, v \in V_{+}^{*}$ and, therefore, the part metric defined in [PSO4, Definition 2.4(ii)] coincides with the one from Definition 2.
(2) If the cone $V_{+}$is normal, then int $V_{+}$is a complete metric space w.r.t. the part metric $p$ (cf. [Tho63]).

LEMMA 2. If $V_{+} \subset V$ is a normal cone with monotone norm, then

$$
\|u-v\| \leq\left(2 e^{p(u, v)}-e^{-p(u, v)}-1\right) \min \{\|u\|,\|v\|\} \quad \text { for all } u, v \in V_{+}^{*}
$$

Proof. See [KN93, Lemma 2.3]. $\square$
The subsequent result is an adaption from [Nes99, Lemma 4]. Thereto, let $P \neq \emptyset$ be a set again, and we denote $\Phi(p): V_{+} \rightarrow V_{+}, p \in P$, as uniformly ascending on $A \subset V_{+}$, if there exists a continuous mapping $\phi:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$
with $\alpha<\phi(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\alpha v \leq u \quad \Rightarrow \quad \phi(\alpha) \Phi(p) v \leq \Phi(p) u \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in[0,1], p \in P, u, v \in A
$$

Evidently, each such operator $\Phi(p)$ is order-preserving and subhomogeneous on $A$; latter means that $\alpha \Phi(p) v \leq \Phi(p) \alpha v$ holds for $\alpha \in(0,1), v \in A$ and $p \in P$. Moreover, if $\Psi: V_{+} \rightarrow V_{+}$is a mapping satisfying $\Psi(A) \subset A$ and

$$
\alpha v \leq u \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha \Psi v \leq \Psi u \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in[0,1], u, v \in A,
$$

then also the composition $\Phi(p) \circ \Psi: V_{+} \rightarrow V_{+}, p \in P$, is uniformly ascending with $\phi$. In particular, the composition $\Phi_{1}(p) \circ \Phi_{2}(p)$ of two uniformly ascending mappings $\Phi_{1}(p), \Phi_{2}(p): V_{+} \rightarrow V_{+}, p \in P$, is uniformly ascending on $A$, if $\Phi_{2}(p) A \subset A$.

Lemma 3. Let $V_{+} \subset V$ be a normal cone with int $V_{+} \neq \emptyset$ and assume that the mapping $\Phi(p): \operatorname{int} V_{+} \rightarrow \operatorname{int} V_{+}, p \in P$, is uniformly ascending w.r.t. $\phi$. Then $\Phi(p)$ is uniformly contractive on int $V_{+}$for the part metric, where the contractivity function $c$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(u, v):=-\log \phi(\min \{\lambda(u, v), \lambda(v, u)\}) \quad \text { for all } u, v \in \operatorname{int} V_{+} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u, v \in \operatorname{int} V_{+}$be given arbitrarily. Since $p$ is a metric on int $V_{+}$, one has $p(u, v) \geq 0$ and the definition of $p$ yields $\min \{\lambda(u, v), \lambda(v, u)\} \leq 1$, where $\lambda(u, v)$ is given in Definition 2. Therefore, w.l.o.g. we can assume $\lambda(u, v)=\min \{\lambda(u, v), \lambda(v, u)\} \leq 1$. Since $\lambda(u, v) u \leq v$ and $\Phi(p)$ is uniformly ascending, it follows that $\phi(\lambda(u, v)) \Phi(p) u \leq \Phi(p) v$, and consequently $\lambda(\Phi(p) u, \Phi(p) v) \geq \phi(\lambda(u, v))$ for $p \in P$. One gets $\phi(\min \{\lambda(u, v), \lambda(v, u)\}) \leq$ $\lambda(\Phi(p) u, \Phi(p) v)$ and exchanging $u$ and $v$ in the proof of the above estimate, yields that $\Phi(p)$ satisfies property (ii) of a uniformly contractive mapping. On the other hand, due to the metric properties of $p$, one has $0<$ $\min \{\lambda(u, v), \lambda(v, u)\}<1$ for all $u, v \in V_{+}^{*}, u \neq v$, and thus we obtain the inequality $\phi(\min \{\lambda(u, v), \lambda(v, u)\})>\min \{\lambda(u, v), \lambda(v, u)\}$ for $u, v \in \operatorname{int} V_{+}$, $u \neq v$. Hence, $c$ satisfies both conditions in the definition of uniform contractivity w.r.t. the part metric. As in [Nes99, Proof of Lemma 4], one sees that $c$ is continuous under the part metric, and this implies the assertion.
3. Limit Set Trichotomies. The following theorem is a clear manifestation of the intuition that contractivity drastically simplifies the possible long-term behavior of a dynamical system - in fact, only three asymptotic scenarios are possible. In the autonomous discrete time case, these limit set
trichotomy was discovered (and so named) by Krause and Ranft [KR92] and generalized in [KN93] to infinite-dimensional autonomous difference equations; in addition, [Nes99] considers such nonautonomous systems, while [PS04] prove a limit set trichotomy for general nonexpansive 2-parameter semiflows. Now the nonexpansiveness of $\varphi(t, \tau)$ is strengthened to the ssumption that $\varphi(t, \tau)$ is uniformly ascending.

Theorem 1 (Limit Set Trichotomy). Let $V_{+} \subset V$ be a normal cone, int $V_{+} \neq \emptyset$ and assume that $\varphi$ is a 2-parameter semiflow on $V_{+}$with the following properties:
(i) There exists a real $T>0$ such that for all $t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}$ with $T \leq t-\tau$, one has $\varphi(t, \tau) V_{+}^{*} \subset \operatorname{int} V_{+}$and that $\varphi(t, \tau)$ is uniformly ascending on int $V_{+}$,
(ii) for all $(\tau, v) \in \mathbb{T} \times V_{+}$every bounded orbit $\gamma_{\tau}^{+}(v)$ is relatively compact in the norm topology.
Then for every $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$ the following trichotomy holds, i.e., precisely one of the following three cases applies:
(a) For all $v \in V_{+}^{*}$ the orbits $\gamma_{\tau}^{+}(v)$ are unbounded in norm,
(b) for all $v \in V_{+}$the orbits $\gamma_{\tau}^{+}(v)$ are bounded in norm and for all $v \in V_{+}^{*}$ we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\|\varphi(t, \tau) v\|=0$,
(c) for all $v \in V_{+}$the orbits $\gamma_{\tau}^{+}(v)$ are bounded in norm, for $v \in V_{+}^{*}$ they have a nontrivial accumulation point, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\|\varphi(t, \tau) u-\varphi(t, \tau) v\|=0 \quad \text { for all } u, v \in V_{+}^{*} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2. (1) The above limit relation (2) implies that all $\omega$-limit sets $\omega_{\tau}^{+}(v), v \in V_{+}^{*}$, are identical, and it excludes the existence of two different equilibria of $\varphi$ in $V_{+}^{*}$. In fact, if $\varphi$ possesses an equilibrium $v_{0} \in V_{+}^{*}$, then (2) guarantees $\omega_{\tau}^{+}(v)=\left\{v_{0}\right\}$ for all $v \in V_{+}^{*}$.
(2) Let $T_{\max } \geq T$ and suppose $\mathbb{T}$ is a time scale such that for all $t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}$, $T \leq t-\tau$, there exist finitely many points $t_{0}:=\tau<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{N-1}<t_{N}:=t$ in $\mathbb{T}$ satisfying $T \leq t_{n+1}-t_{n} \leq T_{\max }$ for all $n \in\{0, \ldots, N-1\}$. Then it is sufficient in hypothesis (i) to assume that $\varphi(t, \tau)$ is uniformly ascending on $\operatorname{int} V_{+}$for all $t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}$ with $T \leq t-\tau \leq T_{\max }$. This can be seen as follows:
For arbitrary $t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}, T \leq t-\tau$, choose $t_{0}, \ldots, t_{N}$ as above. Then, due to the 2 -parameter semiflow property, one has that $\varphi(t, \tau)=\varphi\left(t_{N}, t_{N-1}\right) \ldots \varphi\left(t_{1}, t_{0}\right)$ is a composition of uniformly ascending operators $\varphi\left(t_{n}, t_{n-1}\right), n=1, \ldots, N$, with $\varphi\left(t_{n}, t_{n-1}\right)$ int $V_{+} \subset$ int $V_{+}$and functions $\phi$ not depending on $n$. Hence, $\varphi(t, \tau), T \leq t-\tau$, itself is uniformly ascending on $\operatorname{int} V_{+}$.
(3) A remark similar to (2) holds for the nonexpansiveness and uniform contractivity assumptions from [PSO4, Theorem 3.1].

Proof. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$ be fixed. Because of Lemma 3, we know that the mapping $\varphi(t, \tau), T \leq t-\tau$, is nonexpansive, and all assumptions of [PS04, Theorem 3.1] are satisfied. To obtain (2), we show that in case (c) the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} p(\varphi(t, \tau) u, \varphi(t, \tau) v)=0 \quad \text { for all } u, v \in V_{+}^{*} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. By Lemma 2 this implies (2), since all orbits are bounded in norm.
To verify (3), let $u, v \in V_{+}^{*}$ and $\left(t_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{T}$ with $t_{0}=\tau$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=\infty$, where w.l.o.g. we may assume $T \leq t_{n+1}-t_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ (cf. Lemma 1). Now let neither (a) nor (b) hold. Then the orbits $\gamma_{\tau}^{+}(u), \gamma_{\tau}^{+}(v)$ are norm-bounded (cf. [PS04, Theorem 3.1]), and furthermore, by (i), one has $\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v \in \operatorname{int} V_{+}$for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. With a view to assumption (ii), this implies that the set $F:=\left\{\left(\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v\right): n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subset$ (int $\left.V_{+}\right)^{2}$ is relatively compact.

Due to Lemma 3, we know that $\varphi(t, \tau), T \leq t-\tau$, is uniformly contractive on int $V_{+}$and it follows from the 2 -parameter semiflow property that there exists a constant $\gamma \geq 0$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
p\left(\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v\right) & \geq c\left(\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \geq p\left(\varphi\left(t_{n+1}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{n+1}, \tau\right) v\right) \geq \gamma \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
\end{align*}
$$

From now on, we assume that (3) does not hold, which yields $0<\gamma \leq$ $p\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \leq \Gamma$ for $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in F$, with some real $\Gamma>0$; note here that (4) implies $\Gamma<\infty$. Setting $\psi\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right):=e^{-p\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)}$, we therefore obtain $0<e^{-\Gamma} \leq$ $\psi\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \leq e^{-\gamma}<1$ for $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in F$, and hence the continuity of $\phi$ and $\alpha<$ $\phi(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in(0,1)$ implies the existence of a $C>0$ with $\frac{\phi\left(\psi\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)\right)}{\psi\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)} \geq C>1$ for $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \in F$. Thus, using $\psi\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\min \left\{\lambda\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right), \lambda\left(\xi_{2}, \xi_{1}\right)\right\}$ we arrive at the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma & \leq p\left(\varphi\left(t_{n+1}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{n+1}, \tau\right) v\right) \stackrel{(4)}{\leq} p\left(\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v\right) \\
& \stackrel{(1)}{=}-\log \phi\left(\psi\left(\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v\right)\right) \\
& \leq-\log \left(C \psi\left(\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v\right)\right) \\
& =p\left(\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v\right)-\log C \\
& \cdots \\
& \leq p\left(\varphi\left(t_{1}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{1}, \tau\right) v\right)-n \log C \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

yielding a contradiction for $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $C>1$. So we must have $\gamma=0$ and in the light of Lemma 1 , the limit relation (3) holds true.

Now we switch to a finite-dimensional situation.

Theorem 2 (Limit Set Trichotomy). Let $V_{+} \subset[0, \infty)^{d}$ be a normal cone, int $V_{+} \neq \emptyset$ and assume that $\varphi$ is a 2 -parameter semiflow on $V_{+}$with the following properties:
(i) There exists a real $T>0$ such that one has $\varphi(t, \tau) V_{+}^{*} \subset \operatorname{int} V_{+}$for all $t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}$ with $T \leq t-\tau$,
(ii) $\left.\varphi(t, \tau)\right|_{\operatorname{int} V_{+}}, T \leq t-\tau$, is continuously differentiable, and

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{d} v_{k}\left|\frac{\partial \varphi_{j}(t, \tau, v)}{\partial v_{k}}\right| \leq a(v) \varphi_{j}(t, \tau, v) \quad \text { for all } T \leq t-\tau, v \in \operatorname{int} V_{+}
$$

and $j=1, \ldots, d$, where $a: V_{+} \rightarrow[0,1)$ is a continuous mapping.
Then for every $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$ the following trichotomy holds, i.e., precisely one of the following three cases applies:
(a) For all $v \in V_{+}^{*}$ the orbits $\gamma_{\tau}^{+}(v)$ are unbounded in norm,
(b) for all $v \in V_{+}$the orbits $\gamma_{\tau}^{+}(v)$ are bounded in norm and for all $v \in V_{+}^{*}$ we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\|\varphi(t, \tau) v\|=0$,
(c) for all $v \in V_{+}$the orbits $\gamma_{\tau}^{+}(v)$ are bounded in norm, for $v \in V_{+}^{*}$ they have a nontrivial accumulation point, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\|\varphi(t, \tau) u-\varphi(t, \tau) v\|=0 \quad \text { for all } u, v \in V_{+}^{*} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$ be fixed. Now we define the mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(u, v):=\sup _{\theta \in[0,1]} a\left(u^{\theta} v^{1-\theta}\right) p(u, v) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u, v \in V_{+}^{*}$ with $p(u, v)<\infty$, where $u^{\theta} v^{1-\theta} \in[0, \infty)^{d}$ abbreviates the vector with components $u_{i}^{\theta} v_{i}^{1-\theta} \in[0, \infty), i=1, \ldots, d$. By assumption we have $c(u, v)<p(u, v)$ for $u, v \in V_{+}^{*}, u \neq v$, with $p(u, v)<\infty$, and [Nes99, Lemma 6] applied to $\varphi(t, \tau), T \leq t-\tau$, gives us $p(\varphi(t, \tau) u, \varphi(t, \tau) v) \leq c(u, v)$ for all $t, \tau \in \mathbb{T}, T \leq t-\tau$, and $u, v \in \operatorname{int} V_{+}$. The definition of $c$ readily implies its continuity w.r.t. the part metric and, therefore, $\varphi(t, \tau), T \leq t-\tau$, is a uniform contraction on int $V_{+}$. Since we are in a finite-dimensional setting, each bounded orbit of $\varphi$ is relatively compact and the limit set trichotomy from [PS04, Theorem 3.1] applies. It remains to strengthen the assertion in case (c) of this trichotomy, by showing the limit relation (5).

Thereto, let $u, v \in V_{+}^{*}$ and assume that neither (a) nor (b) of the limit set trichotomy in [PS04, Theorem 3.1] holds. Then the orbits $\gamma_{\tau}^{+}(u), \gamma_{\tau}^{+}(v)$ are bounded in norm and one has $\varphi(t, \tau) u, \varphi(t, \tau) v \in \operatorname{int} V_{+}$for $T \leq t-\tau$. Now choose an arbitrary sequence $\left(t_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ in $\mathbb{T}$ with $t_{0}:=\tau, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=\infty$, and w.l.o.g. we suppose $T \leq t_{n+1}-t_{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ (cf. Lemma 1 ). In case, the
sequence $\left(\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a trivial accumulation point, then there exists an infinite set $N \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in N}\left\|\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u\right\|=0$; otherwise we set $N:=\emptyset$. Using mathematical induction, one obtains from (4) the inequality $p\left(\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v\right) \leq p\left(\varphi\left(t_{1}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{1}, \tau\right) v\right)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence we can find some real $\lambda>0$ with $0 \leq \varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v \leq \lambda \varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consequently one has $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in N}\left\|\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v\right\|=0$, which immediately implies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty, n \in N}\left\|\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u-\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v\right\|=0$. It remains to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty, n \notin N}\left\|\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u-\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v\right\|=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the construction of $N$, the set $F:=\left\{\left(\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v\right): n \in N\right\} \subset$ (int $\left.V_{+}\right)^{2}$ has compact closure in $\left(V_{+}^{*}\right)^{2}$. Consequently, there exists an $\alpha<1$ with $\sup _{\theta \in[0,1]} a\left(u^{\theta} v^{1-\theta}\right) \leq \alpha$ for $(u, v) \in F$, we obtain from the definition of $c$ and the 2-parameter semiflow property
$p\left(\varphi\left(t_{n+1}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{n+1}, \tau\right) v\right) \leq c\left(\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v\right) \leq \alpha p\left(\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v\right)$
and inductively

$$
0 \leq p\left(\varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{n}, \tau\right) v\right) \leq \alpha^{n-1} p\left(\varphi\left(t_{1}, \tau\right) u, \varphi\left(t_{1}, \tau\right) v\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty, n \notin N]{ } 0 .
$$

Finally, Lemma 2 and the norm-compactness of $\mathrm{cl} F$ implies the limit relation (7), which concludes our present proof.
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